



Evaluation of Three FHWA Targeted Overlay Pavement Solutions (TOPS) for Asphalt Pavements Based on Their Performances and Potential to Increase Pavement Service Life



North East Asphalt User Producer Group (NEAUPG) 2023 Annual Meeting Providence, RI + October 25th, 2023

Commonwealth Professor Walaa S. Mogawer, P.E., F.ASCE University of Massachusetts Dartmouth

TOPS & EDC Picture Source: www.fhwa.dot.gov/pavement/tops/ & www.fhwa.dot.gov/innovation/everydaycounts/about-edc.cfm

Acknowledgment

MassDOT

Edmund Naras Mark Brum, P.E. Bryan Engstrom

Contractors

Aggregate Industries (Holcim) JH Lynch & Sons Inc. Northeast Paving Warner Bros., LLC & Trew Stone, LLC (All States Materials Group)

➢Binder Suppliers

Bitumar Brox Industries All States Asphalt





Acknowledgment

Alexander Austerman, P.E.

Senior Research Engineer Highway Sustainability Research Center University of Massachusetts Dartmouth Ibrahim Abdalfattah, Ph.D. Senior Research Engineer Highway Sustainability Research Center University of Massachusetts Dartmouth

Mr. Kevin Stuart Consultant/Formerly with FHWA





Outline

- ➢Background
- ➢Objective
- Pilot Specifications
- ≻Experimental Plan
- Results and Analysis by Distress
- Evaluation of Service Life Extension
- ➤Conclusions
- ➢ Recommendations





The FHWA Everyday Counts (EDC) program was established to identify and deploy underutilized innovations that have been proven to be effective by the transportation agencies using them.

In the EDC sixth round (EDC-6) for 2021-2022, seven new innovations were identified, with one of those innovations being Targeted Overlay Pavement Solution (TOPS).





➤TOPS presents innovative overlays for both asphalt and concrete pavements that have proven to be effective in enhancing the performance of high-priority or high-maintenance pavements such as interstate pavements, intersections, bus lanes, ramps, and curves.





For *asphalt pavements*, the FHWA had chosen the following eight TOPS:

- ≻Asphalt Rubber Gap-Graded (ARGG)
- ≻Crack Attenuating Mix (CAM)
- ≻Enhanced Friction Overlay
- ≻Highly Modified Asphalt (HiMA)
- ≻High-Performance Thin Overlay (HPTO)
- ≻Open-Graded Friction Course (OGFC)
- Stone Matrix Asphalt (SMA)
- ≻Ultra-Thin Bonded Wearing Course (UTBWC)





➤MassDOT wanted to investigate some of these eight TOPS to expand its selection of overlay strategies.

>Three TOPS were selected - ARGG, SMA, and HPTO.





Objective

To conduct a comparative evaluation of the three selected TOPS (ARGG, SMA & HPTO) in terms of the following:

- Performance
- Potential to extend pavement service life
- Whether or not they can be used interchangeably





Pilot Specifications

	ARGG	SMA	НРТО
Binder PG	64E-28	76E-34	76E-34
Design Gyrations	100	100	75
P _b , minimum	7.6	6.0	6.4
% Air Voids	3 - 5	4	3.5
% RAP, maximum	10	10	10





Pilot Specifications

Distress	Test	Test Method Specification	Criteria
Rutting	Hamburg Wheel Tracking Test (HWTT)	AASHTO T 324 @ 45°C	< 12.5 mm at 20,000 Passes
Moisture Damage	HWTT Stripping Inflection Point	AASHTO T 324 @ 45°C	> 15,000 passes
Intermediate Temperature Cracking	Flexibility Index (FI)	AASHTO T 393 @ 25°C	$\geq 20^*$
Low Temperature Cracking	Thermal Stress Restrained Specimen Test (TSRST)	EN 12697-46	SMA Only & For Information Only

*FI shall be an average of 4 specimens with no individual specimen with an FI < 15





Experimental Plan



Asphalt Binder Performance Evaluation

Distress	Test	Specification	
	Extended BBR (EBBR)	AASHTO TP 122	
Low Temperature Cracking	Asphalt Binder Cracking Device (ABCD)	AASHTO T 387	
	BBR Creep Stiffness (S) and m-value	AASHTO T 313 and M 320	
Interne dista Terre antura Crastina	Double Edge Notched Tension (DENT)	AASHTO TP 113	
Intermediate Temperature Cracking	G*Sinð	AASHTO T 315 and M 320	
Non-Load Associated Cracking	Delta $T_c (\Delta T_c)$	AASHTO T 313	
Dutting	MSCR	AASHTO T 350 and M 332	
Kuuing	G*/Sino	AASHTO T 315 and M 320	





Mixture Performance Evaluation

	Intermediate Temperature Cracking Tests		Reflective Cracking	Low Tem Crac	mperature acking	
	I-FIT	IDEAL-CT	Overlay Test	TSRST	Creep Compliance	
Test						
Specification	AASHTO T 393	ASTM D 8225	Tex-248-F	AASHTO TP 10-93	AASHTO T 322	
Test Temperature	25°C 25°C		25°C	n/a	0°C, -10°C & -20°C	

Creep Compliance Picture from: https://www.matest.com/en/product/b253-creep-compliance





Mixture Performance Evaluation

	Rutting	Raveling	
Test	Hamburg Wheel Tracking Test (HWTT)	Cantabro	
Specification	AASHTO T 324	AASHTO TP 108	
Test Temperature45°C		25°C	





Performance Results – Intermediate Temperature Cracking







Performance Results – Intermediate Temperature Cracking







Intermediate Temperature Cracking - Conclusions

All mixtures passed the FI criteria listed in MassDOT's pilot specification. Accordingly, MassDOT can use the three TOPS interchangeably to address intermediate temperature cracking.

The IDEAL-CT was performed as it is the test that MassDOT is planning to include in its BMD protocol.





Performance Results – Low Temperature Cracking







Low Temperature Cracking - Conclusions

- MassDOT specifies a low-temperature PG of -28°C in their asphalt mixture surface layers. According to the TSRST, the three TOPS can be used interchangeably because regardless of the binder source, each mixture provided a low temperature cracking of -28°C or colder.
- According to creep compliance analyses, the SMA and the HPTO can be used interchangeably. However, the analyses showed less thermal cracking resistances for the ARGG.





Performance Results – Reflective Cracking





Evaluation of Three FHWA Targeted Overlay Pavement Solutions (TOPS) for Asphalt Pavements Based on Their Performances and Potential to Increase Pavement Service Life



0.23

PMA2

HPTO

Reflective Cracking - Conclusions

> The N_f for all mixtures exceeded 1,200 cycles.

Accordingly, the MassDOT can use the three TOPS interchangeably to address reflective cracking.





Performance Results – Raveling

- MassDOT has no pass/fail criteria for the Cantabro test.
- ➤ Virginia DOT is utilizing a criterion of ≤ 7.5% loss based on extensive testing of surface mixtures for developing their BMD protocol.







Raveling - Conclusions

>All mixtures tested passed the Virginia DOT criterion.

Accordingly, MassDOT can use the three TOPS interchangeably to address raveling.





Performance Results - Rutting







Rutting - Conclusion

>All mixtures passed the agency's criteria for rutting.

Accordingly, MassDOT can use the three TOPS interchangeably to address rutting.





Evaluation of TOPS Service Life Extension

>AASHTOWare® PMED version 2.6.2 was used.

The pavement structure composed of a 9-in asphalt pavement surface layer; a 15-in crushed stone base layer with a resilient modulus of 22 ksi, and a prepared A-2-4 subgrade soil with a modulus of 7 ksi.





Evaluation of TOPS Service Life Extension

- AASHTOWare® PMED analysis determined that a typical flexible pavement structure would exhibit fatigue cracking in 15% of the pavement surface at 16 years of service life and almost no thermal cracking throughout its entire design period.
- The top 2 inches (51 mm) of the surface layer was removed when the predicted fatigue cracking reached 15% of the lane area. It was replaced with 2 inches of a TOPS (3 TOPS x 2 binder sources = 6 total AASHTOWare® PMED simulations). When a TOPS was applied, the simulation was continued using the AADTT at that time with a 3% annual traffic growth rate until the minimum 20-year design life was reached.





Evaluation of the Three TOPS Potential Service Life Extension



TOPS Service Life Extension - Conclusions

➤ The three TOPS using two binder sources extended the service life of the pavement between 11.2 and 14 years depending on the type of TOPS and binder source.

The three TOPS using two binder sources exhibited thermal cracking substantially lower than the MassDOT definition of "light" thermal cracking.





Overall Conclusions

➤The three TOPS met the MassDOT pilot specification criteria for rutting, moisture damage, and intermediate-temperature cracking, and met other DOTs' established criteria for reflective cracking and raveling. This indicated that the three TOPS can be used interchangeably.

Depending on the type of TOPS and binder source, the three TOPS can extend the pavement service life between 11.2 and 14 years.





Recommendations

- ➤ To confirm that the three TOPS can be used interchangeably, it is recommended that MassDOT selects at least one pavement site to construct the three TOPS adjacent to each other and monitor their performances over time. It is important that these experiments have the same underlying layers, traffic, and climate.
- ➢ Perform a Life-Cycle Cost Analysis (LCCA) so that MassDOT can determine whether the life-cycle costs of the three TOPS are the same.
- Perform Life-Cycle Assessments (LCA) to quantify the environmental impacts of each of the three TOPS.











Description of the Three Selected TOPS

• A gap-graded asphalt mixture with AR binder incorporating approximately 20% ground tire rubber. ARGG has been reported to be very durable with resistance to reflective cracking, thermal cracking, and rutting, while also exhibiting good friction resistance. Generally placed at a thickness of 1.25 to 2.25 inches.

• A gap-graded asphalt mixture consisting of a stable stone-on-stone coarse aggregate skeleton and a rich asphalt binder content along with a stabilizing agent such as fibers and/or polymer-modified asphalt modifiers. SMA's stone-on-stone coarse aggregate skeleton can reduce rutting, while the rich asphalt binder content can reduce cracking.

HPTO HPTO • A fine-graded polymer-modified asphalt mixture. HPTO mixtures have been reported to improve cracking and rutting resistances and extend pavement life. It is generally placed at a thickness of 1 inch.

N Fittps: Award flow Got.go

ARGG

SMA



Asphalt Binders

	Base Binders		ARGG Binders		PMA Binders		
	Used for AR1 & PMA1	Used for PMA2	Used for AR2	AR1	AR2	PMA1	PMA2
Continuous Grade	61.0-30.0	55.9 -34.3	65.4-30.3	87.4-28.2	87.4 -33.3	89.5-36.0	77.7-34.2
Performance Grade (PG)	58S-28	52H-34	64S-28	64E-28	64E-28	76E-34	76E-34





Reflective Cracking Evaluation – Overlay Test



- CFE gives an indication of the cracking response during the first load cycle (crack initiation phase).
- CFE is the area under the load-displacement curve up to the peak load during the first cycle
- A high CFE is desirable, as it indicates that more energy is required to initiate a crack



- The CPR is the exponent of the normalized load reduction curve obtained while the crack propagates under the cyclic loading.
- CPR is used to characterize the flexibility of the specimen as it is indicative of a mixture's resistance to crack propagation.
- A low CPR is desirable as it indicates more mixture flexibility.



